IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.3468 OF 2004
IN
APPEAL (L) NO. 801 OF 2004
IN
SUMMONS FOR JUDGMENT NO. 126 OF 2003
IN
SUMMARY SUIT NO. 3699 OF 2002

K.K.Saraf .. Applicant

V/s

Neelam Manohar Chandnani .. Respondent

Sou.Mamata Sadh i/b. V. Deshpande & Co. for the Applicant.
Shri.Vipul Shukla for the Respondent.

CORAM : DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN &

S.J.VAZIFDAR, JJ.

DATE : 10TH MARCH, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the Applicant and the learned Counsel for the Respondent. By this Notice of Motion, the Applicant is seeking condonation of 45 days delay. Perused the Notice of Motion and the affidavit-in-support thereof. For the reasons stated in the affidavit-in-support of the Notice of Motion, sufficient cause is made out for condoning the delay. There is no case of inaction, negligence or want of bonafide on the part of the Applicant. Hence, Notice of Motion is made absolute in terms of prayer clause(a).

(DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN,J.)

(S.J.VAZIFDAR,J.)